CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Roosevelt Residences

In the several years since the demolition was completed, the City worked diligently to attract a sophisticated developer that could layer HOME dollars and Low Income Housing Tax Credits to further the project; that developer ended up being the Utica Municipal Housing Authority (UMHA). The project, a scattered site 55 unit low/moderate income housing development that commenced in 2017 was completed during the 2019-2020 program year. The Roosevelt Residences has proven to be an early success as UMHA has reported an occupancy rate currently at **95%.** The City of Utica expended nearly \$1 million in CDBG funds several years ago to undertake the demolition of the Former Roosevelt Elementary School, and so as to not negatively impact its three-year low/mod benefit average, the activity cited Low/Mod Benefit - Housing as its National Objectives

Demolitions

The 2019-2020 program year marks another year in the use of CDBG funds for selective demolition of larger commercial buildings. Beginning in the 1990's through to about 2010, the City used CDBG funds extensively for an aggressive demolition program; however, the City generally focused these funds on the removal of small residential buildings ranging in size from a single family home to a four-unit apartment building, largely because the environmental review was simple and the need great within the City. However, in recent years, a need to address larger commercial or industrial buildings has grown substantially. This program year brought about the completion of the demolition of 1710 Whitesboro Street (\$7,147.00) as well as the demolition of 1532 Erie Street (\$177,008.75)

Demolitions comprised 6.31% of the expenditures during the year and had a significant impact on the surrounding neighborhoods, while also clearing the way for future development. A local developer has already broken ground at 1532 Erie Street to construct the "LIVE IT! Downtown Complex" which plans to open in Spring 2021. The 44,000 square foot complex, anchored by the Fitness Mill will include 14,000 square feet of commercial space for local business partners to include: a daycare facility, screen printer, barber shop and health food store.

Low/Mod Benefit

The City of Utica continues to maintain a high percentage of its expenditures for the benefit of low- to moderate-income persons and families. According to Line 22 of PR26, the City expended 95.76% of its CDBG funds in 2019-2020 for low/mod benefit which exceeds the three-year average of 93.57% (Line 26 of Pr26).

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
AM 1-Overall Administration	Administration	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
AM-2 Special Planning/Management	Overall Planning and Administrative Management	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%			

CD-1 Community Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	10000	0	0.00%	20000	0	0.00%
CD-2 Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	30000	0	0.00%	17500	0	0.00%
CD-3 Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	30000	10582	35.27%	3000	10582	352.73%
CD-4 Food Programs	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	0	0.00%			
CD-6 Public Safety	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		30000	0	0.00%

	Non-Housing									
CD-6 Public Safety	Community	CDBG:	Other	Other	8000	0				
	Development	\$					0.00%			
	Non-Housing	CDBG:								
CD-7 Clearance	Community	срвд. \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	15	3	20.00%	1	3	300.00%
	Development	Ş					20.00%			500.00%
	Non-Housing	CDBG:								
ED-1 Employment	Community	\$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	200	0	0.00%			
Dev	Development	Ļ					0.0070			
ED-2 Financial	Non-Housing	CDBG:								
Assistance	Community	\$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	20	0	0.00%			
	Development	Ŷ					0.0070			
ED-2 Financial	Non-Housing	CDBG:		Businesses						
Assistance	Community	\$	Businesses assisted	Assisted	20	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
	Development	Ŷ		7.0515120			0.0070			0.0070
ED-3 Technical	Non-Housing	CDBG:		Businesses						
Assistance	Community	\$	Businesses assisted	Assisted	50	0	0.00%			
	Development	+								
			Public Facility or							
	Non-Housing		Infrastructure							
ED-4 Infrastructure	Community	CDBG:	Activities other than	Persons	10000	0				
	Development	\$	Low/Moderate	Assisted			0.00%			
			Income Housing							
			Benefit							
	Non-Housing		Facade							
ED-5 Rehabilitation -	Community	CDBG:	treatment/business	Business	0	1		0	1	
Commercial	Development	\$	building							
			rehabilitation							

ED-5 Rehabilitation - Commercial	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	12	1	8.33%	4	1	25.00%
ED-6 Historic Preservation	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	5	0	0.00%			
HO-1 Operations and Support	Homeless	HOME: \$21377 / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1500	0	0.00%			
HO-1 Operations and Support	Homeless	HOME: \$21377 / ESG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		1	0	0.00%
HO-2 Prevention and Rehousing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	450	0	0.00%			
HO-2 Prevention and Rehousing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	0	0		400	0	0.00%
HO-2 Prevention and Rehousing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		50	0	0.00%
HO-4 Permanent Housing	Homeless	HOME: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%			
HO-Housing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	75	0	0.00%			

HS-1 Housing Construction	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	0	0		20	0	0.00%
HS-1 Housing Construction	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	100	0	0.00%			
HS-2 Homeowner Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
HS-3 Rental Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
HS-4 Neighborhood Revitalization	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	8	0	0.00%			
HS-4 Neighborhood Revitalization	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	8	0	0.00%			
HS-5 Fair Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Other	Other	100	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
Section 108 Loan Repayment	Loan Repayment	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
SN-1 Housing	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		2	0	0.00%

SN-1 Housing	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		1	0	0.00%
SN-1 Housing	Non-Homeless	CDBG:	Other	Other	10	0				
	Special Needs	\$	Other	Other	10	0	0.00%			
SN-2 Social Services	Non-Homeless	CDBG:	Other	Other	20	0				
SN-2 Social Services	Special Needs	\$		Other	20		0.00%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Within the City of Utica's 2015 – 2019 Consolidated Plan, six activities were identified as having the highest priority including: Housing, Homelessness, Other Special Needs, Community Development, Economic Development and Administration. At the conclusion of the 2019 - 2020 program year, most of the activities should be roughly at or around the 100% completion level as the plan was created based on the assumption that many of the activities would receive multi-year allocations throughout the 5 year plan.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	5,544	16	0
Black or African American	1,994	7	0
Asian	951	0	0
American Indian or American Native	12	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Total	8,501	23	0
Hispanic	803	7	0
Not Hispanic	7,698	16	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The numbers in the table above reflect those reported in IDIS along with end-of-year reporting figures, based on reports submitted by CDBG subrecipients.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	2,735,392	2,753,567
HOME	public - federal	614,774	213,930
ESG	public - federal	207,655	193,312

Identify the resources made available

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The table above shows a relatively low expenditure of HOME in the 2019-2020 program year. The modest expenditure accounts for drawdowns from two significant projects within the City of Utica.

Macartovan Apartments is a building rehabilitiation project located in the historic Robert's building on Genesee Street in Downtown Utica. Once complete, this anchor building will contain 60 updated units of senior housing with commercial space available on the ground level.

The Link at Sunset, operated and managed by the Kelberman Center involves construction on the site of a former elementary school in South Utica. Upon completion, this development will offer 60 units of housing, including 12 units of supportive housing geared towards adults on the autism specturm.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Consolidated Plan (CP) Target			
Area		87	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Virtually all of the activities funded through CDBG and HOME, save for the public services which are expended based on low to moderate family income documentation, are based on location within the City's CDBG Target Area.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

According to the PR33 - HOME Matching Liability Report, the City of Utica has a Match Liability Amount of \$0.00 for the 2019 program year, as it has dating back to 2003. This 100% match reduction is provided based on the fact that the City of Utica has been determined to be under severe fiscal distress. To meet the definition of severe fiscal distress, the City's percentage of families in poverty must exceed 19.9%, which is 125% of the average national rate for families in poverty of 15.54%. Additionally, the City's per capita income must have been less than \$21,268, which is 75% of the average per capital income of \$28,357. 0 While not formally required, the City of Utica annually tracks the amount of funds leveraged by its public service subrecipients' use of Community Development Block Grant funds.

For the 2019 program year, a total of \$4,203,461.36 in funding was leveraged by the expenditure of \$242,893.02 in CDBG funds. This was divided among the following subrecipients: Utica Public Library, Compeer, CNY Fair Housing, Young Scholars, Elderlife, Utica Safe Schools, North Utica Senior Center, Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative (ESPRI), Parkway Senior Center, JCTOD, Rescue Mission of Utica, On Point for College, Abraham House and Compassion Coalition.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
0						
0						
0						
0						
0						

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year										
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match			
N/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the	Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period									
Balance on hand at begin- ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$						
0	5,056	3,371	0	1,685						

Table 7 – Program Income

	Total	ts completed du		ess Enterprises		White Non-
	Total	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property OwnersAlaskanAsian orBlack Non-HispanicNative orPacificHispanicAmericanIslanderIndianIndianIslander				White Non- Hispanic
Number	1	0	0	1	0	0
Dollar	50,59					
Amount	4	0	0	50,594	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

-

	•				ne number of perso and the cost of acq	•	e cost of
Parcels Acquired	ł			1	860,011		
Businesses Displ	aced			0	0		
Nonprofit Organ	izations						
Displaced			1	0			
Households Terr	nporarily						
Relocated, not D	Displaced			0	0		
Households	Total		Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-
Displaced		Alas	kan	Asian or	Black Non-	Hispanic	Hispanic
		Nativ	e or	Pacific	Hispanic		

		Native or American Indian	Pacific Islander	Hispanic		
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

 0
 0
 0

 Table 10 - Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	410	68
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	51	50
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	10	10
Total	471	128

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	95	0
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	48	50
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	13	3
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	156	53

 Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

In reviewing Table 11, the biggest difference between one-year-goal and actual outcomes is the discrepancy between number of homeless households to provide affordable housing to and actual results. The discrepancy could be a result of the nearly-unobtainable number established by the goal. The actual number of 19 households is based solely on language from Written Agreements designating specific housing units for homeless/disabled:

1)In the 2019-2020 Program Year, the Roosevelt Residences project opened, with 50 units having been created for low-income families. Eight of these units were set aside for the homeless/disabled.

2) In the 2017-2019 Program Years, the West Street Apartments building opened, with 40 units having been created for low-income indviduals or couples. Eleven of these units were set-aside for homeless/disabled. The Asteri building opened, with 49 units having been created for low-income individuals. The Johnson Park Phase VI opened, providing 8 new units with a percentage dedicated to the chronically homeless.

Other projects mentioned in the previous CAPER are moving forward in the 2020-2021 Program Year, Those that are using HOME funds include the Macartovin Apartments on Genesee Street and The Link at Sunset on Sunset Ave. Projects under development that are not using HOME funds include the Globe Mill project in West Utica, Starting Line Apartments in East Utica, and the Rathbun Lofts on Broad Street.

In reviewing Table 12, the City of Utica HOME Program does not provide Tenant-Based Rental Assistance, as we use HOME as either a construction loan and leveraging tool to encourage to production of more rental units or as gap-funding. Otherwise, our actual numbers are not far from our goals or have exceeded the goals.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Utica's official HOME Program policy is to formally commit HOME dollars after a project is more fully financed rather than at the beginning of the process, acting as a gap-funding measure. In early 2018, the City began working with Pathstone Development (Rochester, NY) in their acquisition and rehabilitation of the Macartovin Apartments in the historic John A. Roberts Department Store on Genesee and Devereux Streets, which will significantly improve the sustainability and living conditions in 66 apartment units. The City will contribute \$125,000 or 1% of the total project budget, in HOME funds. Rehabilitation of the exterior facade and ground-floor retail has commenced, but unit rehabilitation is expected to take longer as the affected population is elderly/disabled and the COVID-19 pandemic requires additional safety precautions for this population. The Olbiston Apartments on Genesee St (141 units) is still a high priority for rehabilitation, and is currently under negotiation for acquisition by an interested party. The Vecino Group and Municipal Housing Authority plan to team up to rehabilitate a warehouse at the corner of Broad St and Mohawk St into a 90 unit apartment building, which will likely use HOME funds. Johnson Park Center is nearly ready to enter a HOME agreement for its Phase VII project, which seeks to construct 60 rental units for low-income/elderly/disabled households. Additionally, the UNHS Homeownership Center will be rehabilitating 180 units for lead paint hazards, providing more sustainable and safe housing.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City's 2019-2020 ESG grant included funding for the Utica Municipal Housing Authority's Street Outreach program. The program hires three staff members through AmeriCorps to conduct outreach into areas with known homeless populations (including shelters and encampments), developing a rapport, and guiding individuals to services and shelters. This activity will be renewed for the 2020-2021 program year.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City's 2019-2020 ESG grant included funding for shelter operations through the Johnson Park Center, the Rescue Mission of Utica, and the YWCA Hall House. Each organization serves a different segment of the homeless population. Rapid Rehousing programs through the Utica Center for Development and the Municipal Housing Authority operate with the goal of finding housing for the homeless in shelters, and providing assistance with Security Deposits and Utilities to transition individuals from temporary shelter to permanent housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City's 2019-2020 ESG grant included funding for a Homeless Prevention program through Utica Center for Development. This program allows funds for utility expenses and rental arrears to prevent eviction and subsequent homelessness.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The service organizations that partner with the City of Utica through the ESG funding all share this common mission, and in the most extreme cases, have worked together to ensure the mission is met. Of homeless individuals that pass through these programs from shelter to permanent housing, 90% successfully remain stable and off the streets.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

- Begun the implementation of the Rental Assistance Demonstration Program (RAD) to finance improvements to the housing authority's unit inventory;
- Received RAD CHAP(Commitment to Enter into a Housing Assistance Payments Contract) awards and a portfolio reservation from HUD to enable the housing authority to apply for financing for the redevelopment of its public housing stock;
- Received funding from HUD to implement a Mainstream Section 8 rental assistance program for the homeless/at-risk disabled population;
- Completed construction on a \$16 million affordable housing project Roosevelt Residences consisting of 50 scattered site units (including 10 HOME units and 8 units reserved for homeless veterans and the chronically homeless);
- Received a HUD Emergency Safety and Security Funding grant in the amount of \$248,710 for security improvements;
- Received a highly competitive Lead-Based Paint Capital Fund Program grant of \$1,000,000 to assess and remediate lead risks at its Gillmore Village complex;
- Completed construction with our co-developer Construction Services, LLC on a \$13 million Pioneer Village Utica College student housing complex;
- Received renewal funding from the City of Utica Emergency Solutions Grant to operate our community's first homeless street outreach program;
- Received renewal funding for the Rapid Rehousing Program which provides short-term rental assistance and supportive services to the homeless;
- Received a grant of \$239,250 from HUD to continue its ROSS Service Coordinator grant for the Marino-Ruggiero Apartments and Perretta Twin Towers
- Continue to operate a three-year AmeriCorps grant which will increase funding from \$136,252 to \$264,514 and increase its FT members from 11 to 20;
- Established an outreach center at the Utica Pubic Library to offer evening job placement, housing assistance, financial literacy services, and help for veterans;
- Begun feasibility analysis and predevelopment activities in connection with various new affordable housing projects;
- Conducted training for public housing and community residents on Section 3 and entrepreneurship;
- Completed building upgrades and apartment renovations at our various developments;
- Provided fair housing and Section 3 training sessions for UMHA employees as well as staff of local housing agencies.

The UMHA completed the following capital projects during the last year:

- Asphalt Restoration at Perretta Twin Towers, Marino-Ruggerio & Chancellor.
- Concrete Sidewalk Restoration at Perretta Twin Towers, Marino-Ruggerio & Chancellor.

- Additional Security Lighting at Adrean Terrace, FX Matt & ND Peters.
- Additional Security Cameras at Adrean Terrace, FX Matt & ND Peters.
- Door Operators at Marino-Ruggeiro Rear Entry Doors.
- Replacement of Main Sanitary Line at Roosevelt Residences.
- Flat Roof Repairs at Humphrey Gardens and Gillmore Village.
- Asphalt Sealing and Striping at Perretta Twin Towers, Marino-Ruggerio, Chancellor & 819 Hamilton St.
- Asphalt Playgrounds with Painted Games at Humphrey Gardens and Gillmore Village.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

- Provided leadership training to resident associations;
- Held regular meetings of the resident assoications either in person or through the internet;
- Maintained Facebook page and published newsletters to update housing authority residents on programs, policies, and activities;
- Provided wellness checks of vulnerable public housing residents (elderly and disabled) to ascertain health and human service needs during the pandemic;
- Provided emergency prepared meals and distributed food to residents;
- Conduced health screening clinics for residents;
- Delivered COVID-19 health education to residents to prevent possible infection;
- Distributed sanitizers and health/cleaning products to residents;
- Continued to provide technical assistance to the UMHA's four resident associations and citywide advisory council;
- Utilized AmeriCorps members to provide one to one counseling and service coordination to residents
- Continued to operate the ROSS and Family Self-Sufficiency Programs to help residents obtain employment and community services;
- Continued to implement the Community Service requirement which requires adult unemployed public housing resident to volunteer work or participate in job training programs;
- Operated a summer feeding program at UMHA housing developments in partnership with the Utica City School District;
- Operated the UMHA's the Summer Youth Employment Program paid for by public housing funds;
- Recruited youth for the Oneida County Summer Youth Employment Program funded by the New York State Department of Labor;
- Provided residents with financial literacy education and budget counseling.
- Offered eviction prevention services to residents;
- Using housing authority funds, developed and paid for a Section 3 program to hire low-income residents;
- Received funding from Oneida County Workforce Development to administer the Oneida

County Summer Youth Employment Program;

- In partnership with Mohawk Valley Community Action Agency, operated a Summer Day Camp program at Adrean Terrace;
- Provided homeownership training for public housing and community residents;
- Continued to implement the nationally validated Circles Program build resident self-help and resiliency skills;
- Retained a professional counselor to provide mental health interventions for residents;
- In conjunction with the Compassion Coalition, conducted free food distribution to low-income seniors and disabled through pop up grocery stores at our public housing sites;
- Through the Guardian Angels and Family Friends Programs, conducted monthly home visits to our public housing apartment units to assess resident service needs and make appropriate referrals;
- Provided referrals to and workshops on free income tax preparation services and the availability of the EITC and CTC;
- Provided job readiness, resume assistance, and job training to residents.

The UMHA Housing Opportunity Center provides individual and group homeownership counseling to community and public housing residents. It refers clients to the HomeOwnership Center for homeownership training and downpayment assistance. The Center holds homeownership fairs to interest community residents into buying their homes. We work with local banks such as NBT to promote homeownership subsidy products targeted to low-income families. The UMHA continues to oversee its HOPE homeownership program and at times will resell homes in the event that the original owner must move out of the area.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not Applicable - The Utica Municipal Housing Authority is not a troubled housing authority

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Given the number of affordable housing projects that have either been completed or initiated during the 2019-2020 program year, it is clear that there are very few, if any, public policies in the City of Utica that hinder development of such projects. In fact, the number of affordable housing units constructed in this program year have exceeded the number of market-rate units constructed.

The biggest obstacles to the development of affordable housing in the City of Utica most often have nothing to do with public policies. Instead, public opinion and funding are the biggest obstacles. Such projects have been proposed or are already started in the City's Cornhill and West Utica neighborhoods. In fact, several projects have also been announced or altogether completed in Downtown without any public outcry. The City's North and South Utica neighborhoods, the more affluent of the City's neighborhoods have tended to take a NIMBY approach and have required greater considerations in the design of such developments and far more communication and education regarding the operation of such developments.

The City of Utica is in the final stages of ratifying a new Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map which will further expand opportunities for affordable housing.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

For the past several years, City staff from numerous departments, including the Department of Urban & Economic Development, have been working to advance a plan by Mohawk Valley Health System (MVHS) to construct a single, consolidated health care center (hospital) in Downtown Utica. MVHS has gained site control of the building footprint and construction has since commenced. The new hospital will replace St. Elizabeth's (Utica) and St. Luke's (New Hartford). Once built, the hospital will bring 3,000 employees into Downtown Utica on a daily basis and will result in the clearance of several City blocks that have been deteriorating for years and are an otherwise blighting influence on the Downtown.

In support of this project, the State of New York has provided \$300 million to MVHS; MVHS will be responsible for the balance of this \$485 million project. Once complete, the new hospital will provide critical health care to the City's low- and moderate-income residents from an easily accessible location. Additionally, the new hospital will provide much-needed job opportunities to low- to moderate-income residents as well.

Department staff continue to search for and apply for other State and Federal grants that can be used to improve the lives of the City's low- to moderate-income persons and families. The City successfully

applied for and received the \$10 million Downtown Revitalization Initiative grant offered by New York State to one municipality in each of the State's regional economic development council districts. As the City's strategic investment plan outlines approximately 64 million dollars in leveraged funds for roughly 13.5 million dollars in priority projects.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City, in partnership with the Community Foundation of Oneida and Herkimer Counties and other membership organizations of the "Lead-Free Mohawk Valley" committee, was awarded \$3.5 million in 2018 for funding through the Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Program from the United States Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). The grant funds are being utilized to address lead hazards in 180 housing units in the City of Utica. Units must be occupied by children under 6 years old, or a residence where children under 6 years old spend a significant amount of time.

The grant is being administered over the course of three years, and will abate the lead hazards that threaten hundreds to thousands of children and families over time.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2016, New York State Governor Andrew Cuomo announced that the City of Utica was one of sixteen communities across the State awarded \$1.5 million as part of his Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative (ESPRI). The intent of the initiative was to provide resources to communities that were facing abject, generational poverty to gather grass-roots knowledge about poverty in order to identify and implement community-driven projects that would bring about real change in the lives of and economoic well-being of those impoverished within the community.

It was noted in last year's CAPER that the United Way of the Valley and Greater Utica (UWVGU) had been selected as the non-profit partner to administer the grant funds. It was also noted that the UWVGU had done extensive planning and community outreach and ultimately identified the need for expanded child care (including non-traditional hours and round-the-clock availability) as the most important issue facing Utica residents living in poverty.

Since the writing of the last CAPER, the City has been working closely with officials of UWVGU to implement a solution to this issue with the remaining ESPRI funds. UWVGU has identified a location from which a new child care center will operate. Construction has begun in order to ensure that the space meets all State standards for such centers and hiring has begun as of the end of the 2018-2019 program year.

The center opened during the first half of the City's 2019-2020 program year and the initiative was funded approximately \$30,000 in CDBG funding to assist with their child care services.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As of the 2019-2020, the addition of the Community Development Specialist along with the reorganization of the Department of Urban and Economic Development staff has led to greater efficiency and flexibility in day to day operations.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Close coordination with the Utica Municipal Housing Authority and other private housing and social service agencies is a necessity in order to assist the low-to moderate-income residents of the City of Utica. Various partnerships that were formed over the past several years will continue working together to address the needs of the city and its aging housing stock.

Many of those agencies regularly attend the regular meetings of the Mohawk Valley Housing and Homeless Coalition, which is the Continuum of Care for the Utica-Rome area. The City of Utica sends two representatives to those regular meetings – one from the City's Section 8 program and the Housing Development Specialist from the Department of Urban & Economic Development.

Like the Continuum of Care, the City also participates in a number of other regional working groups that requires close coordination between the City and public and private housing and social service agencies. For instance, the Lead Free MV coalition that has been developed by The Community Foundation of Herkimer & Oneida Counties, LLC and discussed above, boasts a membership of nearly fifty entities, many of whom are public and private housing and social service agencies.

As stated in the 2020-2021 Action Plan, the City's work through NY's Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative (The Greater Utica Anti-Poverty Initiative), the Lead-Free MV initiative, and other partnerships will continue to bring together various public and private housing and social services agencies with various City departments in an effort to end poverty in the City of Utica.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, all agencies have committed to maintaining collaborative meeting schedules using the Zoom platform rather than meet in person. This has been in practice since early March, 2020.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

For the past several years, the City of Utica has contracted with CNY Fair Housing, Inc. out of Syracuse to assist the City in addressing impediments to fair housing choice as identified in the City's Analysis of Impediments (AI); this practice continued in the 2019-2020 program year.

With the development of a new five-year Consolidated Plan, the City has also initiated a renewal of Analysis of Impediments.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG public services programs are focused on meeting the needs of low/moderate income individuals and households. These funds provide assistance and opportunities for low/mod income families. Programs within our community would serve significantly lower numbers of people if CDBG funds were not available. Throughout the funding cycle and awarding of CDBG funds, the City works towards directing funding to projects that meet the goals and objectives in our annual plan.

The City of Utica ensures that CDBG/ESG/HOME subrecipients maintain financial, statistical, and programmatic records of their operations and financial activities in accordance with HUD regulations. Remote monitoring of subrecipients occur on an on-going basis with the submission of reimbursement requests with required supporting documentation and quarterly program reports. All reimbursement requests and documentation are reviewed by staff for accuracy, completeness, and compliance with approved budget and program guidelines. As an added control, quarterly reports must be current in order to receive reimbursement payments. City staff review the quarterly program reports to ensure work is being carried out as described in the application and agreement. City staff also examine the program reports and financial information submitted in order to conduct risk assessments, ensure production, accountability, and to evaluate each agency's organizational and project performance. The City's CDBG Program operates on a reimbursement basis, source documents are reviewed prior to approval along with a review of subrecipient's program, financial policies and procedures, and a review of the annual single audit required of those subrecipients is performed. City staff are able to closely monitor the expenditures of each sub-recipient to ensure that all expenses are eligible for reimbursement. Subrecipient beneficiary reporting is a condition for cost reimbursement.

City staff maintain an open door policy for all agencies and frequent unofficial visits are made to sub recipients throughout the year. In addition, City staff usually conduct on-site monitoring visits with the subrecipient agencies. However, due to the pandemic, only two on-site monitorings took place during this entitlement year. The remainder of the subrecipients received an off-site monitoring review.

Utilizing checklists developed for each activity/project, Department staff conduct the off-site monitoring by reviewing each subrecipient file. Within thirty (30) days of an off-site monitoring, staff will provide written comments to the subrecipient. The letter includes, at a minimum, the date of review, results of the monitoring (satisfactory performance, concern or finding), a statement describing the basis for each conclusion, instructions on what the recipient must do to address any area of concern or finding and a

deadline by which the recipient must respond. In extreme cases of non-compliance, staff must determine if an additional on-site monitoring visit is necessary before an issue can be adequately resolved. In all cases, staff will work with recipients to come to a satisfactory resolution.

т

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Citizens will be provided with a minimum of fifteen (15) days to receive comments on the performance reports that are required to be submitted to HUD before their submission with a notice on the City's website, social media sites, posting on the City bulletin board, Section 8 Department, Utica Municipal Housing sites and/ or via a legal advertisement in the Observer Dispatch. All comments or views of citizens received in writing, or orally at public hearings in preparing the performance report will be considered and a summary of these comments shall be attached to the performance report.

The performance reports will be available to the public (electronic or paper copies), including the availability of materials in a form accessible to persons with disabilities, upon request at City Hall, 1 Kennedy Plaza, Department of Urban and Economic Development, 2nd Floor.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

At this point, there is no plan to make any changes to the City's program objectives or due to any experience. In the past several years, the City has had to make changes to address compliance with HUD's timeliness issue and to meet the low/mod benefit over the three-year average. The changes that were made have been instituted successfully as they have been addressed and have not been an issue in the 2019-2020 program year.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Rebuild Mohawk Valley: HOPE VI Replacement Housing (700), Oneida Homes 4A (687-697), Oneida Homes 4B (706-710, 715-717), Oneida Homes 4C (711-714), Oneida Homes 4D (967-975), West Utica HOME Ownership (1195), Home Preservation (1255). All units created as part of these programs were homebuyer units. Agency of the former RMV has been assumed by the Utica Municipal Housing Authority, which maintains all records and communications with program participants. All records are maintained, changes noted in the records. One HOPE VI house was sold in the 2018-2019 Program Year, and records of this transaction are maintained in the property file.

Johnson Park Center: Johnson Park Apts., Phase VI (1498) was monitored for the first time since it opened last year. No issues were observed with project files and records. Physical unit inspection was deferred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and will be conducted at the first safe opportunity.

Habitat for Humanity: 306 Lansing Street (1502), 305 Lansing Street (1411), 315 Lansing Street (1252) are all homebuyer units. Physical unit inspection was deferred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and will be conducted at the first safe opportunity. Exterior photos of the houses were taken, and no issues were visible from the outside. The physical records of construction have been kept in an off-site storage facility due to a roof collapse at their previous facility that resulted in records being covered in mold. At last opportunity to view prior to damage, they were deemed acceptable by the then-HOME Coordinator.

UNHS Homeownership Center: Center City Homeowner Rehab (1503), Center City Rental Rehab (1566), West Utica Healthy Housing Pilot Project (1568). Records were viewed from these projects in 2019, and were not required to be reviewed this year. Next monitoring visit will occur in 2021 for Center City Homeowner Rehab and WUHHPP. The others will be monitored in 2022.

Housing Visions: Genesee Crossings (1397-1409). Genesee Crossings is monitored annually. There have not been any issues with maintenance of physical property or records. Vacant units were allowed to be inspected, however inspection of occupied units was deferred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and will be conducted at the first safe opportunity.

Vecino Group: Asteri Apartments (1664). Asteri Apartments is monitored annually. There have not been any issues with maintenance of physical property. A mistake was discovered regarding the HUD Rent

Limits for certain units, however they were corrected and all tenants recertified with the correct limits. The mistake did not affect the amount of net rent tenants pay. Inspection of occupied units was deferred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and will be conducted at the first safe opportunity.

Rescue Mission of Utica: West Street Apartments (1618). West Street Apartments is monitored annually. There have not been any issues with maintenance of physical property or records. Grounds had a problem with unsightly weeds, but the issue has been corrected since the initial visit. Inspection of occupied units was deferred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and will be conducted at the first safe opportunity.

Blanco Realty properties at Lincoln Ave (1499) and Scott Street (1679) were to be monitored for the 2019-2020 Program Year, but due to a combination of schedule conflicts and the COVID-19 pandemic, the monitoring visit has not yet occurred. It will be completed prior to the close of 2020.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

In accordance with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) regulations and in furtherance of the City of Utica's commitment to non-discrimination and equal opportunity in housing, the City of Utica has established procedures to affirmatively market units acquired, rehabilitated, constructed or otherwise assisted under the CDBG and/or HOME Programs. The City of Utica is committed to the goals of non-discrimination and equal access. In addition, the City of Utica is committed to the goals of increasing the housing opportunities of those with limited English proficiency, low-income residents and under-represented ethnic and racial groups.

The City of Utica, by and through the Department of Urban and Economic Development, actively promotes fair housing through:

• Ongoing funding of fair housing services

• Adoption and implementation of an "Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice" which was most recently completed in preparation for the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan.

• Adoption of "Consolidated Plan" for CDBG/HOME program, with an analysis and strategy for fair housing every five years, and in the Annual Action Plan each year.

• Annual monitoring of fair housing activities through monitoring of fair housing services and reporting on ongoing activities in its Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

In reviewing PR27, a total of \$3,370.64 was authorized in program income for the City of Utica for Administration purposes in the 2019-2020 program year.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

In the past several years, the City has witnessed a renewed interest in the development of housing in all neighborhoods of the City and for a variety of housing types and target incomes.

Many of the projects, particularly those focused on low-to moderate-income persons and families provide for the inclusion of Low Income Housing Tax Credits as part of the financing. Invariably, the developers also ask for the City to commit HOME or CDBG dollars to the project. Additionally, many developers also seek Payment In Lieu Of Tax (PILOT) arrangements for the duration of the project as well, either directly through the Common Council or through the Utica Industrial Development Agency (UIDA). While the UIDA has not yet finalized their tax-exemption policy to specifically include standards for housing projects, general provisions have been established.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Co Basic Grant Information	mplete
Recipient Name	UTICA
Organizational DUNS Number	010759959
EIN/TIN Number	156000418
Indentify the Field Office	BUFFALO
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Utica/Rome/Oneida County CoC
ESG Contact Name	
Prefix	Mr
First Name	Mike
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Peek

Suffix	0
Title	Housing Development Specialist

ESG Contact Address	
Street Address 1	1 Kennedy Plaza
Street Address 2	0
City	Utica
State	NY
ZIP Code	13502-
Phone Number	3155340399
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	mpeek@cityofutica.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Mr
Chris
Lawrence
0
Principal Planner
3157920181
0
clawrence@cityofutica.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	04/01/2019
Program Year End Date	03/31/2020

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: UTICA City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: 13502, 4234 DUNS Number: 010759959 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 15574 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: JOHNSON PARK CENTER City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: 13501, 4439 DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 29000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA OF THE MOHAWK VALLEY City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: 13502, 4606 DUNS Number: 077297562 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Rescue Mission City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: 13501, 3618 DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 15000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Utica Municipal Housing Authority City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: , DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87631 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Utica Center for Development City: Utica State: NY Zip Code: 13502, 4328 DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 12000

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic				
Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabiliti	es:		-	
Severely Mentally				
111	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total				
(Unduplicated if				
possible)	0	0	0	0

Number of Persons in Households

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Street Outreach	0	0	5,954
HMIS	0	0	10,000
Administration	0	0	14,607

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2017	2018	2019
	0	0	30,561

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2017	2018	2019
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0

Total Match Amount	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2017	2018	2019
	0	0	30,561

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities